

Action number	Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Comment	Due by	Status	Workgroup Closed
1	WG1	GE	Provide illustrative examples which work backwards from the energy price cap timetable to identify the latest point at which a BSUoS fixed price reset can be implemented.	The Proposer provided a worked example explaining three months period would be the latest point at which a BSUoS fixed price reset can be implemented from January to March 2027 and 2 months for October to December 2026.	WG2	Closed	WG2
2	WG1	GE	Provide detail and rationale supporting percentage thresholds (the proposed 75% working capital trigger) and the four month and one week minimum notice period.	The Proposer altered their solution so that working capital trigger would be 50% explaining that this level provides Suppliers with early, actionable information before material risk crystallises, while still avoiding unnecessary or noise-driven alerts.	WG2	Closed	WG2
3	WG1	MC	Provide worked examples demonstrating possible tariff reset decisions based on the current situation if a 4 month and 1 week minimum notice period was in place, demonstrating the trade-offs between longer (earlier/less certain) and shorter (later/more certain) notice.	The NESO SME provided examples on the 4 month and 1 week minimum notice period. However, the solution has been amended as mentioned in Action 1 above and was reworked under new Action 6.	WG2	Closed	WG2
4	WG1	GE	Consider a possible situation in which tariffs should not be reopened or in effect for a 15 month notice period.	The Proposer believed this to be out of scope of CMP474.	WG2	Closed	WG2
5	WG2	MC	Consider analysis of the likelihood of tariff reopening at different notice periods, to illustrate the trade-offs and benefits of the proposed approach.	NESO SME analysis provided to the Workgroup.	WG3	Closed	WG3
6	WG2	MC	Rework the Worked Examples based on the Proposer's updated solution.	The NESO SME amended the worked examples following the Proposer's updated solution.	WG3	Closed	WG3
7	WG2	NE	Provide illustrative analysis comparing fixed BSUoS recovery with actual balancing cost outturns since fixed tariffs were introduced, including the impact of operating in over recovery versus a neutral cash position. Update: Provide illustrative analysis based on cashflow	The NESO SME shared the amended analysis comparing fixed BSUoS recovery against actual balancing costs. The Proposer noted the chart showed the cumulative over/under-recovery (net recovery) rather than cashflow. Some Workgroup members considered the analysis useful in illustrating the scale of recovery against the working capital facility, while others highlighted that it does not reflect cashflow, which is a key factor in determining tariff reset decisions.	WG5	Closed	WG3
8	WG3	GE/MC	Amend to simplify the illustrative example 'Enduring arrangements for the January – March 2027 price cap period onwards' which is to be annexed to the Workgroup Consultation.	The updated examples were amended by the Proposer and shared with the Workgroup.	WG4	Closed	WG4
9	WG3	All	Workgroup to review Workgroup Consultation on the Collaboration Space and consider additional specific questions.	All Workgroup members reviewed the Workgroup Consultation on the Collaboration Space.	WG4	Closed	WG4
10	WG5	NE	Provide retrospective analysis of BUSoS historical data, including how the proposed working capital facility thresholds and rules would operate in practice at 50% and 75%	Analysis provided.	WG6	Closed	WG7